Agenda changes/additions: the committee agreed to meet again on Wednesday, Nov. 6, at 4:30

Previous minutes approved as posted

No public comment

Continuing business: discussion of website proposals

Sperling was not the firm Howard was thinking of. Group agreed to eliminate.

Group discussed pros/cons of remaining 5 applicants.

Questions/concerns that came up in review, potentially to be directed to applicants:

- Drupal Intercept module is in alpha stage of development (Logic Branch)
- MRW’s work blitz concept could be burdensome to BML staff
- We should request clarification from applicants re: limits on content migration
- We should request clarification from applicants re: responsibility for domain hosting
- What are our analytics needs/desires?

Questions for Fletcher Allen (former MRW client)

- How was it working with MRW generally?
- What were blitzes like, specifically?
- How well did MRW articulate its needs from Fletcher?
- What would you do differently in general?

Questions for applicant references

- Did you like working with X? Are you satisfied? Easy to work with?
- Were there budget issues (cost over-runs etc.)?
- What was the impact/work load on staff?
- What did the support costs cover and was X responsive?
- Was the training provided valuable?
- What would you do differently?

The website working group of the technology committee will interview references for each of the five developers under consideration and will report back at the next meeting, Weds. Nov. 6.